

Appl. No. : **10/508,980**
Filed : **September 24, 2004**

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

none

Identification of Claims Discussed

all

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

none

Proposed Amendments

none

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants' representative contacted Examiner Kosson to clarify the election of species requirement.

Results of Interview

Examiner Kosson confirmed that the election of species requirement applied only to the composition claims of Group I.

Appl. No. : 10/508,980
Filed : September 24, 2004

REMARKS

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the communication, Applicant elects to prosecute Group III, Claims 8-9, drawn to a method of treating muscle hyperactivity.

This election is made without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the remaining claims in a divisional application. Accordingly, prompt examination on the merits of Claims 8-9 is respectfully requested.

Amendment of the title

The title has been amended to reflect the claims. No new matter is added herewith.

Telephonic interview

Applicants greatly appreciate the clarification provided by the Examiner on the election of species requirement during the telephonic interview of November 30, 2005 between Examiner Kosson, Dan Altman, and Che Chereskin. The results of the interview are summarized on page 2 of this paper.

Conclusion

Should there be any questions concerning this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned agent at the telephone number appearing below. Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: Nov. 30, 2005

By: Che S. Chereskin

Che Swyden Chereskin
Registration No. 41,466
Agent of Record
Customer No. 20,995